

Development, Acceptability, and Short-Term Outcomes of a Parent Module for a Brief, Bullying Bystander Intervention for Middle School Students in Rural, Low-Income Communities

> Aida Midgett, PI, Counselor Education Diana Doumas, Co-I, Counselor Education

> > **Acknowledgements**

Mary Buller, Mentor Laura Bond, CTR-IN Statistician

> **Funding Source** CTR-IN Pilot Grant

Pilot Grant

- We received NIMHD STTR/SBIR R41 Phase I (\$230K) and R42 Phase II (\$1.7M) grants to translate a brief bullying bystander intervention (STAC) into a technology-based format (STAC-T) for middle school students in rural schools.
- Goal of this pilot grant is to provide data to support a NIMHD STTR/SBIR R41/42 Fast Track proposal to develop the STAC-T Parent Module as a companion training, which will include a multi-site randomized trial rural schools.

States of the local division of the second



The Problem of Bullying

- Bullying peaks in middle school, with 28% of students reporting bullying victimization¹ and 33% reporting being cyberbullied.²
- Youth in rural communities are at higher risk than urban youth,³ with 24% of rural youth reporting victimization compared to 20% of urban youth.⁴
- In rural communities victimization is associated with peer rejection, negative school experiences, and low school satisfaction,⁵ as well as depression and anxiety.⁶
- Approximately 88% of students witness bullying as bystanders.⁷
- Witnessing bullying is associated with negative outcomes, including depression,⁸⁻¹⁵ anxiety,^{10,12,16} and somatic symptoms.¹⁰



School Interventions

- Studies support the efficacy of comprehensive, school-wide programs¹⁵ but these programs require significant resources.^{8,14,17-19}
- Most programs do not include bystander interventions despite meta-analysis indicating they are important.²⁰
- Rural, low-income schools have further obstacles for implementation²¹ due to lower tax base to fund programs, training costs inflated by transportation needs related to bringing expert trainers to the school, frequent staff turnover with limited resources to re-establish expertise, school closures, staff overload and burnout, and lack of program advocates and local expertise in bullying prevention.²²



The STAC Program

- STAC²³ is a brief, bullying bystander intervention developed to train middle school students how to "defend" targets of bullying
- STAC stands for four bullying bystander intervention strategies:
 - "<u>S</u>tealing the Show"
 - "<u>T</u>urning it Over
 - <u>Accompanying Others</u>
 - "<u>C</u>oaching Compassion"
- 75-minute intervention followed by 2 bi-weekly boosters
- Data from studies in rural communities indicate:
 - Increases in knowledge, confidence, and use of the STAC strategies²⁴⁻²⁵
 - Reductions in bullying perpetration²⁴ and victimization²⁴⁻²⁵
 - Reductions in social anxiety,²⁴ depression,^{24,26} and passive suicidal ideation relative to control students²⁶



Need for Parent Training

- Parents play a vital role in impacting bullying behaviors.
- Parental knowledge of bullying, beliefs about victimization, including blame attributions, and self-efficacy in managing bullying are inversely related to bullying.²⁷
- Middle school students believe parents are indifferent and ineffective in addressing bullying;²⁸ however, when students do report to an adult, they most often report to parents (67%).²⁹
- Several meta-analyses and literature reviews suggest that involving parents in bullying interventions decreases bullying,³⁰⁻³² but few interventions include parent education.³³
- Research in rural communities indicate:
 - School personnel believe parental involvement in bullying intervention is important^{34,35}
 - Parents recognize bullying as one of the most significant challenges youth face³⁶
 - Engaging parents in training can be difficult²²



Purpose and Aims

- It is important to not only develop bullying education programs for parents, but to understand the unique challenges faced by parents in rural communities to reduce barriers for participation and increase engagement.
- <u>Goal</u>: To conduct formative research to inform the development of the STAC-T Parent Module by examining immediate training outcomes and program acceptability, as well as obtaining feedback about program content and delivery format to reduce implementation barriers and increase parental engagement.
 - <u>Aim 1</u>: Develop the content for the Parent Module based on our previous research with school personnel and the bullying literature.
 - <u>Aim 2</u>: Assess the need, feasibility, acceptability, delivery format preference and immediate outcomes (e.g., knowledge, attitudes, self-efficacy, and behavioral intentions) of the STAC-T Parent Module.



Aim 1

- Developed the content for the Parent Module based on our previous research with school personnel and the bullying literature
- The Parent Module is a 30-minute pre-recorded presentation including:
 - Normative feedback about bullying prevalence
 - Didactic information about bullying:
 - Definition
 - Types of bullying
 - Bystander roles
 - Associated negative consequences
 - Review of the student STAC strategies
 - Corresponding strategies parents can use to support students who act as "defenders"
 - Information about "perceptions vs. facts" about bullying:
 - Influence how parents respond when their child reports bullying to them
 - Teach parents strategies to encourage their child to act as a "defender"

BOISE STATE UNIVERSITY

BOISE STATE UNIVERSITY

Questions About Bullying

3. When students report bullying to an adult, what percentage of students tell a parent? What percentage tell a teacher?

| Adult Parent | % Students Bullied Reporting the Location ² 67% |
|-----------------|--|
| Teacher | 53% |

© 2012 Boise State University



© 2012 Boise State University



© 2012 Boise State Universit



Aim 2

 Assess the need, feasibility, acceptability, delivery format preference and immediate outcomes (e.g., knowledge, attitudes, self-efficacy, and behavioral intentions) of the STAC-T Parent Module.

Data Analysis

- Single group mixed-methods design
- Quantitative:
 - Outcomes were fit with a linear mixed model with the fixed effect of Time (pre-test, post-test) and random effect of parents within schools
- Qualitative:
 - Thematic, phenomenological analysis to capture participants' experiences and inductive approach for transcript coding and data interpretation³⁷⁻³⁸



Aim 2

Participants

- 23 parents from three public Title 1 middle schools in low-income, rural communities
- 21 (91.3%) females and 3 males (8.7%) ranging in age from 30-58 years old (*M* = 41.17 and *SD* = 7.17), with reported racial/ethnic backgrounds 78.3% White, 13% Hispanic, and 8.7% Other
- Of the 23 who completed the pre-test survey, 87% (*n* = 20) completed the post-test survey
- Of the 20 parents who completed the immediate post-test, 60% (n = 12; 100% female) signed up and participated in the focus group



Aim 2 – Immediate Post Training Outcomes

Means, Standard Deviations, and Statistical Contrasts

| | Pre-Test $(N=23)$ | Post-Test $(N=20)$ | | | | |
|---|-----------------------|--------------------|-------|------|---------|-----------|
| Outcome | Mean (<i>SD</i>) | Mean (SD) | F | df | р | Cohen's d |
| Knowledge and Confidence Supporting "Defenders" | 33.52 (3.90) | 38.90 (4.36) | 26.34 | 19.3 | .001*** | 1.20 |
| Confidence Intervening in Bullying | 12.00 (2.00) | 13.75 (1.21) | 18.17 | 18.4 | .001*** | 1.06 |
| Comfort Intervening in Bullying | 11.04 (3.67) | 13.70 (1.84) | 13.25 | 19.5 | .001** | 0.91 |
| Parental Role in Bullying | 12.17 (2.27) | 13.95 (2.09) | 16.65 | 20.2 | .001*** | 0.96 |
| Bullying Self-Efficacy | 20.44 (2.37) | 22.50 (1.67) | 17.87 | 21.2 | .001*** | 0.96 |
| Communication Self- Efficacy | 25.39 (3.01) | 27.75 (2.27) | 17.35 | 20.1 | .001*** | 0.96 |
| Bullying Attitudes | 24.22 (2.81) | 25.65 (3.12) | 5.61 | 19.3 | .03* | 0.50 |

Note.*p < .05,**p < .01***p < .001.



Aim 2 – Immediate Post Training Outcomes

Intention to Support Students Using STAC Strategies in the Future

| | % Agreement $(n = 20)$ | | | | | |
|---------------------|------------------------|--------|----------|----------------------|------------------|--|
| Strategy | Very Likely | Likely | Not Sure | Somewhat Unlikely | Very Unlikely | |
| Stealing the Show | 30.0 | 40.0 | 30.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| Turning it Over | 55.0 | 40.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.0 | |
| Accompanying Others | 55.0 | 35.0 | 0.0 | 5.0 | 0.0 | |
| Coaching Compassion | 45.0 | 50.0 | 5.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |



Aim 2 – Acceptability and Relevance

Agreement with Social Validity Items

| Item | % Agreement (<i>n</i> = 20) | | | | |
|---|---------------------------------|-------|----------|----------------------|--|
| | Strongly Agree | Agree | Disagree | Strongly Disagree | |
| The STAC Parent training was easy to understand. | 55.0 | 45.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| The STAC Parent training was useful. | 35.0 | 55.0 | 10.0 | 0.0 | |
| The STAC Parent training was interesting. | 35.0 | 55.0 | 10.0 | 0.0 | |
| The STAC Parent training information was relevant for middle school parents. | 50.0 | 45.0 | 5.0 | 0.0 | |
| The STAC Parent training examples of bullying were relevant for middle school teachers. | 40.0 | 60.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| I learned something from the STAC Teacher training. | 50.0 | 40.0 | 10.0 | 0.0 | |
| I would recommend the STAC Teacher training to other teachers at my school. | 55.0 | 40.5 | 5.0 | 0.0 | |



Aim 2 – Qualitative Findings

Positive aspects of the Parent Module

- Liked having a clear definition of bullying
- Leaning about the effects of bullying
- Applicable examples
- Overall thoroughness of the training

Relevance and appropriateness

- Useful, easy to understand, appropriate, and relevant for school and community
- Liked multiple STAC strategies
- Emphasis on parent-school collaboration
- Program empowers parents to educate their adolescents about bullying



Aim 2 – Qualitative Findings

• Training feedback

- Time constraints and need for flexibility
- Concerns about child becoming a target of bullying
- Need for more parent training so parents can support adolescents

Information parents value

- Importance of fostering open communication
- Need for parents to serve as role-models
- Teaching compassion

• Training need and current offerings

- Spanish version for rural communities
- Need for student training
- Not receiving previous training in this area



Aim 2 – Qualitative Findings

Potential barriers

- Cost
- Time
- Internet access at home
- Language barriers
- Importance of buy-in

Online programming

- Practical want to use phone
- Include engaging and interactive training activities



Summary and Future Directions

- Summary
 - We developed the STAC Parent Module
 - Preliminary data demonstrates acceptability, relevance, and need and increases in immediate post-training outcomes including knowledge, confidence, self-efficacy, responsibility, and anti-bullying attitudes, as well as parents' behavioral intentions to support their adolescents to utilize the STAC strategies
- Next Steps
 - Submit NIMHD STTR/SBIR R41/42 Fast Track (estimated date September 2024)



Questions?

Thank you!

© 2012 Boise State University



References

- 1 Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. (2019). *Injury prevention & control: #StopBullying*. U.S. Department of Health & Human Services. https://www.cdc.gov/injury/features/stop-bullying/index.html
- 2 U.S. Department of Education: National Center for Educational Statistics. (2015). Student reports of bullying and cyber-bullying: Results from the 2013 school crime supplement to the national crime victimization survey (NCES 2015-056). https://nces.ed.gov/pubs2015/2015056.pdf
- 3 U.S. Department of Education: National Center for Educational Statistics. (2019). Student
- reports of bullying: Results from the 2017 school crime supplement to the national crime victimization survey (NCES 2017–015). https://nces.ed.gov/pubs2019/2019054.pdf
- 4 Voight, A. M., Hanson, T. O'Malley, M., & Adekanye, L. (2015). The racial school climate gap: Within-school disparities in students' experiences of safety, support, and connectedness. *American Journal of Community Psychology*, 56(3–4), 252–267 https://doi.org/10.1007/s10464-015-9751-x
- 5 Evans, C. B., Smokowski, P. R., & Cotter, K. L. (2014). Cumulative bullying victimization: An investigation of the dose-response relationship between victimization and the associated mental health outcomes, social supports, and school experiences of rural adolescents. *Children and Youth Services Review*, *44*, 256–264. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.childyouth.2014.06.021</u>
- 6 Hawkins, D. L., Pepler, D. J., & Craig, W. M. (2001). Naturalistic observations of peer interventions in bullying. Social Development, 10(4), 512-527. https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-9507.00178
- 7 Rivers, I., Poteat, V. P., Noret, N., & Ashurst, N. (2009). Observing bullying at school: The mental health implications of witness status. *School Psychology Quarterly*, 24, 211–223. https://doi.org/10.1037/a0018164
- 8 Garrity, C., Jens, K., Porter, W., Sager, N., & Short-Camilli, C. (2004a). Bully-proofing your school: Administrator's guide to staff development in elementary schools (3rd ed.). Longmont, CO: Sopris West.
- 9 Menard, S., & Grotpeter, J. K. (2014). Evaluation of bully-proofing your school as an elementary school antibullying intervention. *Journal of School Violence*, *13*(2), 188–209. https://doi.org/10.1080/15388220.2013.840641
- 10 Doumas, D. M., & Midgett, A. (2020). Witnessing cyberbullying and internalizing symptoms among middle school students. *European Journal of Investigation in Health, Psychology and Education*. 10(4), 957–966. <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/ejihpe10040068</u>
- 11 Doumas, D. M., & Midgett, A. (2021a). The association between witnessing cyberbullying and depression and social anxiety among elementary school students. *Psychology in the Schools, 58*(3), 622–637. https://doi.org/10.1002/pits.22467
- 12 Midgett, A., & Doumas, D. M. (2019). Witnessing bullying at school: The association between being a bystander and anxiety and depressive symptoms. *School Mental Health*, *11*, 454–463. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12310-019-09312-6
- 13 Midgett, M., Doumas, D. M., Peck, M., & Winburn, A. (2021). The relationship between witnessing bullying, defending targets, and internalizing symptoms: An analysis of gender differences among sixth grade students. *Professional School Counseling*, 25(1). https://doi.org/10.1177/2156759X211058159
- 14 KiVa Antibullying (2014). Frequently asked questions. http://www.kivaprogram.net/faq
- 15 Ttofi, M. M., & Farrington, D.P. (2011). Effectiveness of school-based programs to reduce bullying: A systematic and meta-analytic review. *Journal of Experimental Criminology*, 7, 27–56. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11292-010-9109-1
- 16 Doumas, D. M., & Midgett, A. (2021b). The relationship between witnessing cyberbullying and depressive symptoms and social anxiety among middle school students: Is witnessing school bullying a moderator? *Journal of Child and Adolescent Counseling*, 7(3), 149–160. https://doi.org/10.1080/23727810.2021.1934369
- 17 Garrity, C., Jens, K., Porter, W., Sager, N., & Short-Camilli, C. (2004b). Bully-proofing your school: Teacher's manual and lesson plans for elementary schools (3rd ed.). Longmont, CO: Sopris West.
- 18 Garrity, C., Jens, K., Porter, W., Sager, N., & Short-Camilli, C. (2004c). Bully-proofing your school: Working with victims and bullies in elementary schools (3rd ed.). Longmont, CO: Sopris West.
- 19 Menard, S., & Grotpeter, J. K. (2014). Evaluation of bully-proofing your school as an elementary school antibullying intervention. Journal of School Violence, 13(2), 188–209. https://doi.org/10.1080/15388220.2013.840641
- 20 Polanin, J.R., Espelage, D.L., & Pigott, T.D. (2012). A meta-analysis of school-based bullying prevention programs' effects on bystander intervention behavior. *School Psychology Review*, 41, 47–65. https://doi.org/10.1080/02796015.2012.12087375
- 21 Peguero, A. A. (2012). Schools, bullying, and inequality: Intersecting factors and complexities with the stratification of youth victimization at school. *Sociology Compass*, *6*(5), 402–412. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1751-9020.2012.00459.x
- 22 Leadbeater, B. J., Sukhawathanakul, P., Smith, A., Thompson, R. S. Y., Gladstone, E. J., & Sklar, N. (2013). Bullying and victimization in rural schools: Risks, reasons, and responses. Journal of Rural and Community Development, 8(1), 31–47. <u>https://journals.brandonu.ca/jrcd/article/view/680/151</u>



References

- 23 Midgett, A., Doumas, D., Sears, D., Lundquist, A., & Hausheer, R. (2015). A bystander bullying psychoeducation program with middle school students: A preliminary report. *Professional Counselor*, 5(4), 486–500. https://doi.org/10.15241/am.5.4.486
- 24 Midgett, A., & Doumas, D. M. (2020a). Acceptability and short-term outcomes of a brief, bystander bullying program implemented in an ethnically-blended school in a low-income community. *Contemporary School Psychology*, 24(4), 508–517. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s40688-020-00321-w</u>
- 25 Moran, M., Midgett, A., Doumas, D. M., Porchia, S., & Moody, S. (2020). A mixed method evaluation of a culturally adapted, brief, bullying bystander intervention for middle school students. *Journal* of Child and Adolescent Counseling, 5(3), 221–238. <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/23727810.2019.1669372</u>
- 26 Midgett, A., Doumas, D. M., Peralta, C., Bond, L., & Flay, B. (2020). Impact of a brief, bystander bullying prevention program on depressive symptoms and passive suicidal ideation: A program evaluation model for school personnel. *Journal of Prevention and Health Promotion*, 1(1), 80–103. <u>https://doi.org/10.1177/2632077020942959</u>
- 27 Nocentini, A., Fiorentini, G., Di Paola, L., & Menesini, E. (2019). Parents, family characteristics and bullying behavior: A systematic review. Aggression and Violent Behavior, 45, 41–50. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.avb.2018.07.010
- 28 Athanasiades, C., & Deliyanni-Kouimtzis, V. (2010). The experience of bullying among secondary school students. Psychology in the Schools, 47(4), 328–341. https://doi.org/10.1002/pits.20473
- 29 Fekkes, M., Pijpers, F. I. M., & Verloove-Vanhorick, S. P. (2005). Bullying: Who does what, when and where? Involvement of children, teachers and parents in bullying behavior. *Health Education Research*, 20(1), 81–91. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/her/cyg100</u>
- 30 Chen, Q., Zhu, Y., & Chui, W. H. (2021). A meta-analysis on effects of parenting programs on bullying prevention. *Trauma, Violence, & Abuse, 22*(5), 1209–1220. <u>https://doi.org/10.1177/1524838020915619</u>
- 31 Conners-Burrow, N. A., Johnson, D. L., Whiteside-Mansell, L., McKelvey, L., & Gargus, R. A. (2009). Adults matter: Protecting children from the negative impacts of bullying. *Psychology in the* Schools, 46(7), 593–604. https://doi.org/10.1002/pits.20400
- 32 Huang, Y., Espelage, D. L., Polanin, J. R., & Hong, J. S. (2019). A meta-analytic review of school-based anti-bullying programs with a parent component. *International Journal of Bullying Prevention*, 1(1), 32–44. https://doi.org/10.1007/s42380-018-0002-1
- 33 Axford, N., Farrington, D. P., Clarkson, S., Bjornstad, G. J., Wrigley, Z., & Huthochings, J. (2015). Involving parents in school-based programmes to prevent and reduce bullying: What effect does it have? Journal of Children's Services. 10(3), 242–251. <u>https://doi.org/10.1108/JCS-05-2015-0019</u>
- 34 Midgett, A., Doumas, D. M., Myers, V., Moody, S., & Doud, A. (2021). Technology-based bullying intervention for rural schools: Perspectives on needs, challenges, and design. *Journal of Rural Mental Health*, 41(1), 14–30. <u>https://doi.org/10.1037/rmh0000151</u>
- 35 Doumas, D. M., Midgett, A., Myers, V., & Buller, M. K. (2021). Usability testing of a technology-based bystander bullying intervention for middle school students in rural, low-income communities: A mixed methods study. *Journal of Medical Internet Research Formative Research*, 5(10):e32382. https://doi.org/10.2196/32382
- 36 van Vulpen, K. S., Habegar, A., & Simmons, T. (2018). Rural school-based mental health services: Parent perceptions of needs and barriers. *Children & Schools*, 40, 104–111. https://doi.org/10.1093/cs/cdy002
- 37 Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2006). Using thematic analysis in psychology. Qualitative Research in Psychology, 3(2), 77–101. https://doi.org/10.1191/1478088706qp0630a
- 38 Nowell, L. S., Norris, J. M., White, D. E., & Moules, N. J. (2017). Thematic analysis: Striving to meet the trustworthiness criteria. *International Journal of Qualitative Methods, 16*(1); 1–13. https://doi.org/10.1177/1609406917733847