

KIRK KERKORIAN SCHOOL OF MEDICINE



Preventing Colorectal Anastomosis Failure with Finite Element Method (FEM) Validated with Ex-Vivo Model

Department of Surgery, Kirk Kerkorian School of Medicine, UNLV: Brian C. Ward, MD/PhD (Co-PI); Mary Froehlich, MD; Whitney Elks, MD

Department of Mechanical Engineering, Howard R. Hughes College of Engineering, UNLV: Mohamed B. Trabia, PhD (Co-PI); Lucas Gallup, PhD Candidate; Youssef Fahmy, MS Student



Background

- Intestinal anastomosis
 - Connecting proximal and distal ends of intestine with sutures or staples
- Anastomotic leakage patients:
 - Extended hospitalization
 - Average 19 days instead of 7 days
 - Tremendous financial impact
 - \$28.6 million in total additional costs

Incidents of Anastomotic Failures (out of 320,000)





AIMS

- Develop reproducible ex-vivo and finite element models (FEM) that can understand, predict, and eventually prevent failure of colorectal anastomoses
 - Phase 1 (*completed*):
 - Determine mechanical properties of porcine colorectal tissue for FEM
 - Phase 2 (*in progress*):
 - Ex-vivo testing to compare end-to-side and end-to-end colonic anastomoses
 - Develop FEM of the two anastomoses
 - Compare results and adjust the FEM accordingly



Materials and Methods – Phase 1

- Uniaxial tensile testing phase:
 - Longitudinal and tangential orientations
 - Load cell and calibrated optical imagery were used to develop stress-strain relationships
 - Data was used in developing a constitutive model for the material in both orientations



- A. Stepper Motor and Ball Screw Assembly
- B. Solid Mount Upper Support Bar
- C. Interface 25N Load Cell
- D. Load Applying Bar
- E. Optical Table
- F. Light Absorbing (Non-Reflective) Backdrop
- G. Govee Smart Thermo Hygrometer
- H. GoPro Hero 10 Camera with 18-140mm Lens (not shown)



Results – Phase 1

- Results showed that the material behaves as hyperelastic in both longitudinal and circumferential directions
- The colorectal tissues are significantly stronger in the tangential direction





Tensile Testing specimen



Finite Element Model – Constitutive Model

- Tensile testing data were used to fit a 5th order Mooney-Rivlin hyperelastic model for both longitudinal and tangential orientations.
- These material models were incorporated in FEM of the experiments.
- Results showed that these models were fairly accurate.

Material Orientation	Difference of Numerical and Experimental Results
Longitudinal	5.168%
Tangential	6.61%

Normal Stress (Y Axis) for Tangential Specimen



Materials and Methods – Phase 2

- Burst Testing:
 - End-to-end and end-to-side stapled orientations were tested
 - Pressure transducer, load cell, and optical imagery were used to monitor failures and to record data leading up to that point
 - Experiment was submerged in water to near internal body temperature



- A. Load Cell Interface WMC-45N
- B. Omega 0-5psi Pressure Transducer
- C. Specimen
- D. Pre-Tensioning Stage
- E. Tank Temperature Regulator
- F. Air Input from pump
- G. GoPro Hero 10 Camera with 18-140mm Lens (not shown)



Materials and Methods – Phase 2



End to End Anastomosis



End to Side Anastomosis



Preliminary Results – Phase 2 High variability but end to side seems to have a higher failure pressure in general

ullet



Discussion

- Experiments have yielded parameters that will be used to create predictive constitutive colorectal anastomosis finite element models.
 - Uniaxial testing results confirm observations of other researchers that the colorectal tissue is an orthotropic material with significantly stronger characteristics in the tangential direction.
 - Preliminary inflation data indicate that end to side anastomoses may withstand more pressure than end to end anastomoses.
- Findings of this project may change the way colorectal surgery is practiced and positively impact patient care.



Thank You

- MW CTR-IN Program and associated award from the National Institute of General Medical Sciences, National Institutes of Health under grant number U54 GM104944
- Ethicon Endo-Surgery, Inc. Research Support MIP-2021-07
- Troesh Center for Entrepreneurship and Innovation
 - NSF I Corps Funding award
- Las Vegas Livestock



References

- 1. C. Y. Kang, W. J. Halabi, O. O. Chaudhry, V. Nguyen, A. Pigazzi, J. C. Carmichael, S. Mills, and M. J. Stamos, "Risk factors for anastomotic leakage after anterior resection for rectal cancer," *JAMA surgery*, vol. 148, pp. 65-71, Jan 2013.
- 2. A. Schiff, S. Roy, M. Pignot, S. K. Ghosh, and E. J. Fegelman, "Diagnosis and Management of Intraoperative Colorectal Anastomotic Leaks: A Global Retrospective Patient Chart Review Study," *Surgery research and practice*, vol. 2017, p. 3852731, 2017.
- **3**. J. Blumetti and H. Abcarian, "Management of low colorectal anastomotic leak: Preserving the anastomosis," *World journal of gastrointestinal surgery*, vol. 7, pp. 378-83, Dec 27 2015.
- 4. G. Brisinda, S. Vanella, F. Cadeddu, I. M. Civello, F. Brandara, C. Nigro, P. Mazzeo, G. Marniga, and G. Maria, "End-to-end versus end-to-side stapled anastomoses after anterior resection for rectal cancer," *Journal of surgical oncology*, vol. 99, pp. 75-9, Jan 1 2009.
- 5. M. A. Boccola, J. Lin, W. M. Rozen, and Y. H. Ho, "Reducing anastomotic leakage in oncologic colorectal surgery: an evidence-based review," *Anticancer research*, vol. 30, pp. 601-7, Feb 2010.

